





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE / United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/081,818 | 02/20/2002 | Jerome M. Eldridge | 1303.045US1 | 3148 | |
| 21186 | 7590 09/13/2002 | | | | |
| SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 29 MINNEAPO | 038 LIS, MN 55402 | | DANG, PHUC T | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2818 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/13/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Appliesting | , | |
|--|---|--|---|-----|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | Office Action Summary | 10/081,818 | ELDRIDGE ET AL. | |
| | omee Nouen Gummary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | - The MAILING DATE of this commission | PHUC T DANG | 2818 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | |
| - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio | ın. |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 F | ebruary 2002 | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | | s action is non-final. | | |
| 3)□ Dispositio | Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under a con of Claims | nce except for formal m | atters, prosecution as to the merits .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | is |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-84 is/are pending in the application | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | m nom consideration. | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-84</u> are subject to restriction and/or e | lection requirement | | |
| Application | on Papers | ection requirement. | | |
| 9)∐ T | he specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | |
| 10)∐ T | he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept | ed or b) objected to by | the Examiner. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| 11) 🔲 T | he proposed drawing correction filed on | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl | y to this Office action. | | |
| 12)[] T | he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | miner. | | |
| Priority ur | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 13) 🗌 🔏 | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) <u></u> |] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | |
| 1 | I. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | | |
| 2 | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received in A | pplication No | |
| | Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | _ | |
| | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o | • | | |
| | knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | | | n). |
| | ☐ The translation of the foreign language provel Cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | | | |
| ttachment(s | | , | 33 120 GHWOL 121. | |
| Notice | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| Patent and Trad O-326 (Rev. | - · · · · | on Summary | Part of Paper No. 2 | |

Application/Control Number: 10/081,818

Art Unit: 2818

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Group I, Claims 1-23, drawn to a floating gate transistor, classified in class 257, subclass 777.
- II. Group II, Claims 24-43, drawn to a flash memory cell, classified in class 438, subclass 593.
- III. Group III, claim 44, drawn to a programmable logic array, classified in class 711, subclass 103.
- IV. Group IV, claims 45-56, draw to an electronic system, classified in class 710, subclass 8.
- V. Group V, claims 57-61, draw to a capacitor apparatus, classified in class 361, subclass 303.
- VI. Group VI, claims 62-73 and 81-84, drawn to a method for forming an array of flash memory cells, classified in class 438, subclass 241.
- VII. Group VII, claims 74-80, draw to method for operating a non-volatile memory cell, classified in class 365, subclass 236.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, III, IV, V, VI and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operations, different functions, or different effects (MPEP 806.04, MPEP 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operation and different functions. The prince inventions are patentably distinguished by their mutually details as set out above. The limitations recited in the invention I has not been recited in the other inventions II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, and vice-verse. The limitations recited in on one Group, but not in the other Groups evident that the various combinations are patentable distinct from each other because a reference which anticipates one of the invention would not, in and of itself, makes the other inventions obvious.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by either different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement to be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the 6. examiner should be direct to Phuc T. Dang whose telephone number (703) 305-1080.

Phuc T. Dang PD Examiner Art Unit 2818

PHUC T. DANG Sungshow

September 12, 2002